



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/030, 194 04/28/93 KHANDROS

1 TESSERA3,300

EXAMINER

CLARK, S

B5M1/30224

LERNER, DAVID, LITTBENBERG, KRUMHOLZ &
MENTLIK
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2503

DATE MAILED:

02/24/95

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on _____ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _____ month(s), 30 days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474..
6. _____

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. Claims 1-38, 56-65 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Claims _____ have been cancelled.

3. Claims _____ are allowed.

4. Claims _____ are rejected.

5. Claims _____ are objected to.

6. Claims 1-38, 56-65 are subject to restriction or election requirement.

7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.

9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).

10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).

12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.

13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Art Unit 2503

The claims are hereby restricted under PCT RULE 13 as said the claims of group I claims 1-6, 15-35, 61-65 and group II claims 7-14, 36-38 and 56-60 fail to provide ^{a common} inventive concept and are therefore are not so linked to form a single inventive entity. The inventive concept and technical features encompassing the product of group I are deemed to be different than the inventive concept and technical features that encompasses the process group II.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of claims of either group 1 or group 2 of a single inventive entity to be examined even though the requirement be traversed. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner S. Clark whose telephone number is (703) 308-4924.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Clark/mj
February 22, 1995


SHEILA V. CLARK
EXAMINER
GROUP 2500